## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America	)	
v.	) Case No:	DNCW503CR000037-007
MICHAEL CHRISTOPHER CORPENING	USM No:	19446-058
Date of Original Judgment: April 17, 2006	)	1,1.0 000
Date of Last Amended Judgment: February 17, 2009	Steven T. M	
	Defendant's A	ttorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence R	eduction Pursu	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director \$3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment impulse subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the Unit \$994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking intend the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to	posed based on ted States Sente to account the pe	a guideline sentencing range that has noting Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. olicy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:		
		osed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGO Original Offense Level: 35		ffense Level: 34
Criminal History Category: VI		story Category: VI
Original Guideline Range: 292-365 months		uideline Range: 262-327 months
The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline rate. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less that of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less. The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rate. Other (explain):  Due to the defendant's classification as calculations since application of Amend in the guideline calculations in this case.	n the guideline s than the amenage. a Career Offendament 706. The	ded guideline range.
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment da IT IS SO ORDERED.	ted <u>April 17,</u>	shall remain in effect.
Order Date: May 10, 2012	Mu	lay Voollees
Effective Date: (if different from order date)		L. Voorhees States District Judge